

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,873	07/16/2007	John Cracknell	SHP-PT088	3506	
3624 VOLPE AND	7590 08/21/2009 KOENIG, P.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST		
20 SOUTH 17 PHILADELPI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) CRACKNELL ET AL.		
10/587,873			
Examiner	Art Unit		
LESLIE A. NICHOLSON III	3651		

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

		LESLIE A. NICHOLSON III	3651	
 Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. A long of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (K) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of 37 CFR 1.13 (K) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of 37 Months of the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 (K) (MONTHS from the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing planet term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□ 5 3)□ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are reject to restriction and/or e			
Application	on Papers			
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the correct Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. In have been received in Application of the process of the	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment((s)			
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate	

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/587,873

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to a process for binding sheets together.

Group II, claim(s) 18-25, drawn to a binding apparatus.

Group III, claim(s) 26-35, drawn to a sheet collector.

Group IV, claim(s) 36, drawn to a binding apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as process and product. Group I discloses a process that can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus than the product of Group II or by hand, and therefore, does not require the product of Group II.

Groups II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Group I does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability. Further, the combination does not require the particulars of the subcombination because any of a number of different types of sheet collector may be employed than that disclosed by Group III.

Group I discloses the step of feeding successive individual sheets to a folding apparatus, not disclosed by the other Groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,873
Art Unit: 3651

Group II discloses all the limitations of Group IV, but does not disclose a sheet collector in accordance with Group III.

Group IV does not disclose a process in accordance with Group I and does not disclose all the limitations of Groups II or III.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention

Application/Control Number: 10/587,873

Art Unit: 3651

must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LESLIE A. NICHOLSON III whose telephone number is (571)272-5487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM. Application/Control Number: 10/587,873

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leslie A Nicholson III/ Examiner, Art Unit 3651 8/18/2009